The Chicago Plan Revisited

- 1. This is a proposal for the most profound monetary and banking reform in centuries. It is based on the work of a long line of distinguished economists, including Irving Fisher, Milton Friedman and the founders of the Chicago School of Economics, who advocated laissez-faire in industry but not in banking.
- 2. The work is motivated by Irving Fisher's 1936 book "100% Money", with actual quantitative results worked out for the US economy today.
- 3. The paper was written by two IMF economists, Jaromir Benes and Michael Kumhof. Kumhof was a Barclays Bank PLC manager for five years.
- 4. An extensive section on monetary history shows that government control over money creation has generally been superior to private control.
- 5. There is also an exhaustive explanation of the current money creation process, supported by many statements from eminent economists and central bankers.
- 6. The Chicago Plan ensures that the central bank, rather than private banks, creates the country's money supply.
- 7. Deposits in banks would no longer be backed by loans, which are volatile because they depend on banks' willingness to lend.
- 8. Deposits in banks would instead be backed by 100% of government-created money indestructible money.
- 9. Credit creation would remain private, but could no longer be financed by private money creation.

How it is done

- 1. The government creates sufficient indestructible reserve money to provide 100% backing for all deposits. It lends this money to banks at a small interest rate. The government is left with a very large claim against banks.
- 2. The reserve money represents equity in the commonwealth, not debt.
- 3. The government repays part or all of outstanding government bonds, against the cancellation, or the transfer to households, of part of its claim against banks.
- 4. The government pays a one-off citizens dividend, through a transfer to households of part of its claim against banks. Households use this to repay a large portion of private debt.
- 5. The credit part of banks is separated from the deposit part. The bank becomes a true intermediary that has to attract reserve money before being able to lend it. This makes it much easier to prevent credit-driven business cycles.

Consequences

- 1. Money creation no longer requires private debt creation.
- 2. The quantity of money is directly controlled by the central bank, not by private banks.
- 3. Credit creation remains private, and on a much sounder long-term basis than before.
- 4. Banks become true intermediaries that can be run conservatively for the public benefit.
- 5. Financial crises do not affect the quantity of money or the safety of the payments system.
- 6. Dramatic reduction or even complete elimination of the public debt.
- 7. Dramatic reduction of private debt.
- 8. Credit-driven business cycles are not eliminated but significantly reduced if the Chicago Plan is combined with quantitative lending guidance, a policy that can be much more effective in a world where banks cannot create money.
- 9. No bank runs are possible because all money is 100% backed by public reserves.
- 10. There can be no liquidity traps, as central banks can always stimulate a severely recessionary economy through money injections or through below-zero interest rates.
- 11. Inflation can drop to zero without posing problems for monetary policy.
- 12. Real interest rates drop dramatically because equity, not debt, becomes the norm.
- 13. The government can use revenue from money creation to dramatically lower taxes.
- 14. Lower interest rates and taxes lead to potentially very large output gains.